

Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,746	10/30/2001	Craig D. Sunada	10991022 -8	7701	
7590 07/28/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400			WILLIAMS, KEVIN D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/016,746	SUNADA ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin D. Williams	2854				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3-MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 April 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in-condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 27-36 and 38-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 28-36 and 38-50 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 March 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Application/Control Number: 10/016,746

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 27 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 27, line 9, it seems that "between first" should be --between the first--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murayama (EP 0816107 A2) in view of Saikawa (US 6,168,270).

Murayama teaches a media handling system comprising a pick roller structure SF configured to selectively contact and pick a media sheet from an input source, a drive roller structure 2,3 spaced from the pick roller structure, a first guide structure 80a longitudinally extending from the pick roller structure SF to the drive roller structure, a second guide structure 80b longitudinally extending between the pick roller structure and the drive roller structure to define a media path between the first and second guide structures, the media path defining a media entrance (Fig. 1) adjacent the pick roller structure and a media exit (Fig. 1) adjacent the drive roller structure, wherein the media

path constrains the movement of a trailing edge of the media sheet as the trailing edge leaves the pick roller structure to minimize trailing edge print defects.

Murayama teaches the claimed invention except for the first guide structure extending continuously from the pick roller structure to the drive roller structure.

Although Murayama does not expressly disclose the first guide extending continuously from the pick roller structure to the drive roller structure, it appears that the first guide structure must be connected directly or at least indirectly to the pick roller structure and the drive roller structure, thus forming a continuously structure between the pick roller structure and the drive roller structure.

Saikawa teaches a first guide structure 148,143 extending continuously from the pick roller structure 111,111a to the drive roller structure 141,142.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Murayama to have the first guide structure as taught by Saikawa, in order to continuously guide a sheet from the pick roller structure to the drive roller structure.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 28-36,38-50 are allowed.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin D. Williams whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 2854

272-2172. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew H. Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KDW July 26, 2004

ANDREW H. HIRSHFFLD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800